



The Official Publication of ACT

P. O. Box 40074, (626) 795-2798

Volume 49
Number 9
October, 2021

Published by Chuck Hains & Sally Beer

**Sunday,
October 24,
6-7:30 p.m.**



**Enjoy Brats,
Beer, and
History**

Join with all your friends to celebrate UDH's Virtual Oktoberfest 2021! Enjoy Brats, Beer and History with words from our congressman heroes like Adam Schiff and Judy Chu, plus UDH special awards. AND a meal to be remembered.

Celebrate the 2021 UDH awardees: Peter Braun, Community Service Award; Joanne Wendler, Lifetime Achievement Award; and Rep. Judy Chu, Friend of the UDH award! Hearty congratulations to these three talented and hardworking folks for their extraordinary service.

To get your tickets, go to <https://udhevents.com> and click on 'Oktoberfest 2021!' Choose your food (there is a vegetarian option) and drink (beer and/or soda) and make any other selections. (Ticket prices range from budget to "the sky is the limit" – all for a great cause.) UDH volunteers will deliver your meal to your door.

As a special treat this year, you can order a copy of Rep. Adam Schiff's new book, *Midnight in Washington – How Close We Came to Losing Our Democracy and We Still Can*. This must-read, told by the man at the center of it all, may already be on your shopping list. And you can get yourself an autographed copy! As a longtime UDH friend, Adam has gifted copies to UDH. All proceeds from these sales will go to supporting 2022 campaign activities.

NOTE: All who sign on at Sponsor, Co-host, or Host levels, are invited to a private VIP Reception at 5 p.m., just before the 6 p.m. event.

Your generosity in sponsoring and supporting this Pasadena Area United Democratic Headquarters (UDH) 2021 Oktoberfest fundraiser, is what makes UDH able to have a great local headquarters that will bring together a host of volunteers in support of local and national campaigns. Thank you.



Next ACT and ADC Meeting

Thursday, November 4, 7 p.m. Via Zoom

Please join us on Thursday, November 4, at 7 p.m. for our ACT and Arroyo Democratic Club Meeting via Zoom. We are happy to announce that our good friend (and former ACT Co-Chair), State Senator Anthony Portantino, will be with us to provide his insider report from Sacramento.

Find the Zoom link for the meeting on ACT's webpage, <http://www.actpasadens.org>.

ACT and ADC's regular business meeting will follow the program. Socializing before the meeting begins at 7 p.m. The meeting follows at 7:30 p.m. Everyone is welcome.



Upcoming ACT/ADC Meeting Program

December 2, 7 p.m. General Membership meeting. Mid-term Election Strategy with Democratic leaders. Also, members will vote on 1) a proposed change to the ACT bylaws and 2) ACT joining the Pasadena Affordable Housing Coalition. (Whether this will be a virtual or in-person meeting is to be decided.)

For sign-on instructions for Zoom meetings, please go to the ACT webpage, www.ACTpasadena.org



ACT Receives Generous Contribution from Gronemeier Law Firm

Dale Gronemeier and his law firm recently won an unexpectedly large settlement in a case, and out of his firm's fees, he is making \$3,000 contributions to each of 11 non-profits / community groups in the Pasadena area. ACT is one of those recipients.

The contribution checks were distributed to the eleven recipients at a Gronemeier / Skip Hickam-bottom press conference at Pasadena City Hall on October 12. ACT Treasurer Ed Washatka was there to receive the contribution on ACT's behalf and relay our thanks.

The ACT Steering Committee has agreed to place this surprise contribution in our Judy Boggs Fund, to provide stipends for Judy Boggs Student Interns working in future campaign headquarters.

Our very grateful thanks go to the always generous Dale and Skip for this unexpected gift.



Welcome New and Returning ACT Members:

Erik Nasarenko, Ventura

Special Thanks to Sustaining Members

Erik Nasarenko

Julie Reiz

Thanks for Contributions to the Judy Boggs Intern Fund:

Peter Braun

Bill Hackett

Cheryl & Dennis Heitmann

Jeanette Mann

Sue Miele



Should ACT Join the Pasadena Affordable Housing Coalition?

There is an alarming shortage of affordable housing throughout the state. To address the problem, the state's Department of Housing and Community Development, along with regional government associations, have conducted Regional Housing Needs Assessments (RHNA). These assessments mandate that cities greatly step-up enabling solutions for production of housing units.

Backed by the State, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) demands that 9,429 housing units be added in Pasadena between 2021-2029, of which 6,000 must be affordable, based on various household income levels. Some ACT Steering Committee members at the October meeting felt these numbers are shockingly, if not impossibly, high. Regardless, the City made and lost an appeal to SCAG to have the quantities reduced.

Coalition in getting the City to adopt these enabling solutions into the Housing Element. (Coalition members include League of Women Voters, NAACP, and Democrats of Pasadena Foothills.) Ed Washatka made a presentation about the recently organized Pasadena Affordable Housing Coalition (PAHC). The Coalition is organizing the community to provide input into the City's Housing Element, which will guide Pasadena's housing policy over the next eight years.

The presentation provided details of the affordable housing problem along with some solutions the Coalition presented to the City in August. Ed encouraged ACT to join with the 15 other members of the

While members agreed with the urgency for more affordable housing, there was unease about

joining the Coalition. The PAHC's guidelines state that reaching consensus for decisions is a priority where possible; if not, then majority rules. Members of the PAHC also agree to avoid acting against goals and policies of the coalition. This bothered some Steering Committee members who were concerned that ACT might not be able to take positions contrary to the PAHC's. (However, ACT members may take positions as individuals.)

After extensive discussion, members voted ten yes, nine no, one abstention to join the Coalition. The closeness of the vote led to a second vote (13-6) to reconsider, and then a third vote (19-0) to take the issue to the ACT membership.

So, the question will now go to ACT members at our December 2 General Membership meeting.

Go to [ACTpasadena.org](https://actpasadena.org) to view the presentation. Look for more information in the November *Phoenix*.

~ Ed Washatka



Thanks for Additional Contributions to ACT's Operating Account

Greg Harrison and Nichole Mihara
Anne Hunnewell

Thanks for Additional Contributions to ACT's Political Account

Roger Wolf



Political Notes

by Jon Fuhrman



Monday, October 11.

Things in Washington do seem to be a mess.

President Biden was able to win bipartisan support for a massive infrastructure bill, which everyone on the Democratic side agrees is needed and appropriate – and, incidentally, is massively bigger, even when stretched over ten years, than any prior hard infrastructure bill ever passed. No one on our side disagrees that we should spend that money on those projects and get started as soon as we can. This bill is a centerpiece of the President’s ‘Build Back Better’ theme, bringing blue-collar jobs to the steel mills and concrete factories and construction industries.

But it has gotten caught up in a complex game of chicken. Democratic progressive forces want both the hard infrastructure and the even more ambitious “soft” infrastructure bill that would have addressed virtually every item on our wish

list – from climate change, to Medicare expansion covering dental and other services, to permanently enacting the child care subsidies and other tax changes that go a long way in addressing the income inequality and childhood poverty issues we have been facing. Unfortunately, the cost of all those provisions rolls up to \$3.5 trillion, which, even when spread over ten years, is a hefty sum.

For Sens. Joe Manchin and Krysten Sinema, that hefty sum was several bridges too far, and they are not interested in budging from their opposition or even, it would appear, negotiating with House progressives or with Senator Bernie Sanders to find a middle ground. So the House progressives are trying to hold hostage the “hard” infrastructure bill, which both Manchin and Sinema strongly support, until Manchin and Sinema give at least some ground on the “soft” infrastructure bill.

It appeared that a compromise might have been close, with Manchin suggesting that he could support a \$1.5 trillion price tag – quite a drop from \$3.5 trillion, but still massively bigger than anything we might have hoped for in past years (especially when considering that would be in addition to the \$1.2 trillion “hard” infrastructure bill and the \$2 trillion COVID-19 relief package approved earlier this year). Nonetheless, no progress seems to have been made in that direction yet, and enough House progressives continue to refuse to back the “hard” infrastructure bill to prevent its passage (despite support from about a dozen House Republicans) until Manchin and Sinema commit to support the soft infrastructure package.

My guess is that we will, within the next two months, find a middle ground and pass both measures. I suspect the House progressives will have to give the most ground. I think they overplayed their hand, hoping their refusal to support the smaller infrastructure bill would move

Manchin and Sinema. But the two Senate Democrats seem unfazed by criticism from fellow Dems and unmoved by President Biden's lobbying, basically refusing to move even if the House progressives tank the hard infrastructure bill.

This is a game of chicken that no one will win, but the House progressives will likely be the biggest losers. It's not a huge problem if the President's popularity, and House Democrats' popularity, takes a hit 13 months prior to the midterms. There's plenty of time to recover, but that recovery depends upon some solid achievements. Already, most pundits are predicting that the Democrats will lose control of the House. Unlike

in the Senate, the minority party in the House has absolutely no power. There is no filibuster, no requirement for 60% support. If the GOP has a 1-seat margin, they rule absolutely, and many Democrats have experienced the unpleasant powerlessness of being in the House minority.

So I think House progressives have overplayed their hand. At this point, Manchin and Sinema have the high cards. House progressives

need to eat crow, pass the hard infrastructure bill, and take what they can get on the soft infrastructure side, even if it is less than half what they wanted.

But even a soft infrastructure bill of "only" \$1.5 trillion, along with the hard infrastructure bill of \$1.2 trillion, is, in reality, a huge victory. It is totally something worth bragging about. It would be a remarkable achievement, something fully worth campaigning on during the midterms.

Most importantly, though, it is only the beginning. Ironically, Sen. Manchin pointed to this himself when he said, if you want more soft spending, elect more liberal Senators. That is

exactly what we need to do in 2022 – when there are 5 GOP seats up that Democrats have a strong chance of winning (Pennsylvania, Ohio, and North Carolina, where incumbent GOP Senators are retiring, and Wisconsin and Florida). Progressives need to accept that we might not get the whole loaf of bread we want this term, but that doesn't stop us from coming back in 2023 to get another big chunk of that loaf. Democrats could easily reduce the cost of the soft infrastructure bill by focusing on spending in the first four years and slashing spending in the latter 6 years, which could be restored by future Congressional action.

So my advice to progressives is to grab what we can this year, focus on keeping control of the House and winning 3 – 5 additional Senate seats in the midterms, and then coming back in 2023 to seal the deal.



Interestingly, right here in Pasadena we have another case where progressive have likely overplayed their hand. The Pasadena Tenant Justice Coalition has drafted the "The Pasadena Fair and Equitable Housing Charter Amendment" which they hope to place on the

November 2022 ballot. They will need about 13,500 valid signatures of Pasadena registered voters, which means close to 20,000 signatures to allow for a typical 70% validity rate. That's a huge undertaking, but even if they get the measure on the ballot they have set themselves up for some easy attacks. The measure proposes an appointed Pasadena Rental Housing Board, but the compensation for its 11 members would be significantly larger than the Mayor's compensation, and the Board is authorized to spend money on its own, without control by the City Council, something no other city board or commission is permitted to do. These are not features essential to achieving the just cause eviction rules that the

sponsors really want. But they are the sort of perfect target for those opposing the measure to hone in on. Further, most of the initiative is focused on rent control, even though state law prohibits rent control from applying to single family homes, condominiums, and any rental unit built after 1995. Given the construction boom in Pasadena over the last 25 years, those three exclusions probably cover well over half, and perhaps 2/3, of the rental units in the city.

So the rent control provisions are likely to have little real effect, except to mark the measure as an easy target for the real estate lobby. If the proponents had simply left out the rent control section, thereby eliminating the Rental Housing Board and issues of compensation and staffing and spending authority, they might well have had a chance to pass the substantive just cause eviction provisions which could apply to a wide swath of Pasadena's rental stock. As it stands, I think the initiative has little chance of making it to the ballot and even less chance of passing.

~ Jon Fuhrman



Your Photo in *The Phoenix*

Please share a photo (of you) at an interesting place with *Phoenix* readers. Send it to Chuck at hains27@sbcglobal.net for inclusion in an upcoming issue.



Political Definition

"Politics, noun. A strife of interests masquerading as a contest of principles. The conduct of public affairs for private advantage."

~ Ambrose Bierce

My Two Cents

By Ron Garber



My favorite T.V. channel is MSNBC, but it's with trepidation I press 51 on my remote control, not because the news is repetitious, which it is, but because it's depressing. I thought no one could anger me more than Trump and McConnell but I cringe every time I hear the names Manchin and Sinema. I remember the afternoon her election win was confirmed. Valerie and I were just arriving at Kersting Ct. in Sierra Madre to participate in an anti-Trump rally when it came across MSNBC, which at that time ran continuously on my car radio through my Sirius XM account. I was ecstatic as I had been telling everyone for two years that Arizona was winnable. Heck, I had believed it to be winnable since the 2004 presidential election when I used 12 weeks of accumulated vacation time - of my last year with the IRS - just before retiring, to travel to Phoenix, stay with my daughter and work on John Kerry's Presidential campaign. I've been following the progress of a gradual Democratic shift that has turned New Mexico, Colorado, Virginia and Nevada Blue, Arizona and Georgia purple, with future demographic changes projecting the big prizes of Texas and Florida to be not impossible. I'm sure we all were flabbergasted when we pulled off the double miracle of electing both Georgia Senators this year, to pull the most improbable political inside straight ever. When it was ruled that we would get a second chance at reconciliation, I was beside myself knowing that anything was possible.

If, God forbid, in 2024, Trump or any Republican ousts us from the White House and should the Republicans take the House, and similarly arrive

at exactly 50 seats in the Senate, does anyone think McConnell not capable of commanding all 50 votes to do whatever has to be done to end the filibuster rules and push through every conceivable anti-democratic, conservative piece of legislation imaginable to their wildest dreams? And I would expect them to cement election law in such a way that they could not be dislodged short of a violent ouster. Because of the electoral college, gerrymandering, and the arcane rules of Senate representation which has Wyoming with only 1/43rd the population of California having the same number of Senators as California, it's not inconceivable that nationwide Democrats could garner the majority of votes for the House, the Senate and the Presidency and be shut out, while the Republicans would treat such an occurrence as a divine mandate and steamroll the country off a conservative cliff.

Will Rogers said, and I know it's overworked, and I know all 3 cable channels have invoked it continuously for the last couple months: that he belonged to no organized party; he was a Democrat. I would love to have a 3.5 trillion-dollar human infrastructure program and I could even live with a 2 or a 1.5 trillion-dollar program, but God help us if we come up with nothing. The Progressive demoralization will be so complete that I think recovery would take decades, not years. I can only pray that we get our act together for the sake of all of us.


My frustration level is so great that I've mentioned the deity twice and prayer while still considering myself to be an Atheist. Give me strength.



The Phoenix Editorial Policy: Signed articles and letters appearing in the *Phoenix* represent the opinions of the individual writers and not of ACT. Their appearance does not imply endorsement by ACT or agreement with the opinions expressed. The accuracy of facts is not guaranteed. We welcome articles of interest and encourage members to write.

Book Review

By Robert V. Frampton



“Grounded: A Senator’s Lessons on Winning Back Rural America” by Senator Jon Tester

Last month Montana Senator Jon Tester made the news when he and Senator Manchin (W VA) defended Tracy Stone-Manning, on the senate floor during her senate confirmation to be Director of the Bureau of Land Management, from accusations by Republican senators that she had engaged in ecoterrorism. She was confirmed by a party-line vote of 50-45, without a single Republican in support. Senator Tester said on the floor, “She is someone who knows the value of collaboration, she is someone who can listen, who can reason, that knows our public lands, that’s recreated on our public lands her whole life.” Tester employed Stone-Manning as an aide and has known her for two decades. Stone-Manning joined the U.S. Senate office of Jon Tester, serving as his regional director from 2007 to 2012 and his acting state staff director and senior advisor in 2012. Then, from January 2013 to November 2014, Stone-Manning served as the director of the Montana Department of Environmental Quality; and from November, 2014 to December, 2017, she was the chief-of-staff for Montana Governor Steve Bullock. This exemplifies Senator Tester’s reputation for cutting through the noise and hyper-partisanship of senate debate.

Though thought of by some as a red state, Montana is actually a purple state. Senator Max Baucus, after serving as state attorney general, held his US Senate seat for 36 years, from 1978 to 2014, when he resigned to become Obama’s

Book Review, *continued on page nine*

**From
25th District
State Senator
Anthony
Portantino**



Dear ACT,

Sunday was World Mental Health Day. I was asked by NAMI, the National Association on Mental Health, to participate in the #Free-YourMe campaign and support a public effort to destigmatize mental health by sharing a personal story. The campaign hopes that if thousands of people shared their own personal journeys or family challenges and successes on social media, we could collectively make a difference to bring mental health issues out of the shadows. As many of you know, my older brother Michael struggled with depression and took his own life. Ironically, I was at an ACT Steering Committee meeting when I received my brother's text just before he died. His journey was eye-opening and inspired me to focus a significant part of my legislative efforts on mental health issues.

This year, I introduced SB 14 to rectify a flaw in education code that did not require student mental health to be treated the same as physical health. With distance learning and now as they go back to campus, young people have endured many challenges during Covid. Facing the stigma of having a mental health related absence not approved needed to be fixed. On Saturday Governor Newsom signed SB 14 into law. I am confident that the Governor's action will lead to healthier students and safer campuses. In addition, I introduced SB 224 which sought to create an age-appropriate curriculum for students to learn about mental health as part of their health curriculum. Today's teens are terrific advocates for their classmates and friends. Helping them to

garner a deeper understanding of depression, suicide, anxiety and other behavior health issues will arm them with information to be better to do even better. The Governor signed it on Saturday as well.

The Governor also put his signature on SB 381, SB 715 and SB 255 which helped make this a successful legislative year. I was very pleased to collaborate with the City of South Pasadena on a comprehensive effort to get CalTrans to divest from the 710 corridor homes in the city. Under the SB 381, tenants will be protected, affordable housing will become easier to create and historic homes will be preserved. It followed my successful effort to end the freeway threat, preserve the non-profits in the corridor and facilitate Pasadena's efforts to develop the freeway stub. SB 255 protects the healthcare of thousands of independent freelance commercial filmmakers. It compliments SB 144, an earlier effort to invest \$330 million dollars to keep film production in California and to incentivize new soundstage construction. SB 715 closes a loophole in gun purchasing that lead to the tragedy at the Poway Synagogue. It also allows an independent investigation when police officers shoot someone where there is a dispute as to whether the victim was armed. It was inspired by the tragic shooting of Anthony McClain in Pasadena.

There is still much to do on education, mental health and sensible gun control awaiting me in January. I look forward to sharing details as they emerge.

Warmly,

~ Anthony



**From
41st District
Assemblymember
Chris Holden**



Dear ACT Friends,

The last day for Governor Newsom to sign bills has passed and six of my bills – out of seven – were signed into law. This year, I had the opportunity to speak at a bill signing press conference on my legislation, AB 26 that establishes clear guidelines for police responsibility and accountability when witnessing excessive force by another member of law enforcement. I joined Governor Newsom and my colleagues in the Legislature, Senator Steven Bradford and Assemblymember Jones-Sawyer. It was a powerful moment as the family members of victims of police brutality stood shoulder to shoulder with us to share their stories.

It was two years ago when I first introduced this bill (at the time AB 1022) – angered by witnessing not just the tragic death of Georgy Floyd, but that the three officers with Derek Chauvin did nothing to intervene. Minnesota, like California, has a policy that an officer has a duty to intervene. However, the problem was that nothing in our current law explains or establishes when in fact, a peace officer should intervene. Absent of this understanding, it leaves the public uninformed and law enforcement with the ability to define “intervention” as whatever each individual peace officer deems as appropriate. Now, with AB 26 signed into law, there are clear guidelines for police responsibility when witnessing excessive force and clear accountability including requiring an officer who fails to intervene be disciplined up to and including in the same manner as the officer who used excessive force.

Three of the five other bills that were signed into law include:

AB 100 that bans the manufacture and sale in California of water faucets and fixtures that leach excess lead.

AB 229 the Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (BSIS) to develop curriculum and training courses on the appropriate use of force for private security service employees.

AB 948 that requires the Bureau of California Real Estate Appraisals to gather data on demographic information of buyers and sellers of real estate property and compile data of homeowners from protected classes who file complaints based on low appraisals.

AB 1297 that expands the financing authority of the California Infrastructure and Economic Bank (IBank) to include housing projects, when the housing is necessary for the operation of the financed project.

Looking forward to keeping you up to date in the next ACT Newsletter.

Sincerely,

~ Chris R. Holden



Book Review, *continued from page seven*

Ambassador to China. Until last year’s election, Democrats had held the Montana governorship for 16 years: 8 years for Brian Schweitzer and 8 years for Steve Bullock. Bullock was state Attorney General before assuming the governorship. The current Democratic chief justice of the state supreme court, Mike McGrath, has held this office since 2009, after serving as attorney general. And Jon Tester is in his 3rd term as US senator, after beating incumbent Senator Conrad Burns. Prior to running for Senate, Tester served as president of the Montana senate. The theme throughout this book is that Democratic values can resonate in rural America if presented in a

way to meet the needs of farmers and ranchers and family businesses.

Montana had a progressive movement in the early 20th Century, when the People's Power League placed a ballot measure in 1912 to pass the "Corrupt Practices Act" to counter the corruption of the Copper Kings. This measure, to prohibit campaign activities by corporations, especially the mining companies, held until it was overturned in 2010 by the US Supreme Court in the "Citizens United vs FEC" case. Tester describes how this Citizens United case has had disastrous effects in Montana.

This memoir of Jon Tester covers his life in rural Montana, where, after high school, he and his new wife, Sharla, took over the farm that had been his parents' and grand-parents'. He obtained a degree in music and taught music in high school, then won a position on the school board and later the state senate, while engaging in organic farming of wheat, barley, lentils, millet and alfalfa hay. He has eschewed the use of pesticides and GMO seeds for decades. And he has witnessed firsthand the disruptive effects on his farming routine from the effects of climate change.


In this memoir we get a good sense of the day-to-day responsibilities and activities of a senator. Tester, for example, describes the efforts to get Obama's health care measure passed. And the chaotic and sometimes bizarre effects of the Trump administration's relationship with Congress, and his role as Chair of the Senate Committee on Veterans Affairs. He also describes his role (2015-2017) as Chair of the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC). And he gives many points of advice on how the Democratic Party could have a greater appeal to rural America.

Senator Tester concludes his memoir with this statement: "The fact that rural America continues to lose population, and the fact that once vibrant

small towns are now just skeletons of their former selves, has always been a torment to me. Having lived my entire life on the farm west of Big Sandy, Montana, has allowed me to witness the decline of rural America. It truly is a shame, because the rural areas of our nation have so much to offer – like quality of life, appreciation and respect for mother nature and the fact that in rural communities 'we are all in it together'. It is a tough thing to see diminished." Senator Tester has stood up for the values and needs of rural America, and the preservation of the family farm (against the encroachment of the large corporate farms). This advocacy has allowed Jon Tester to be endorsed by voters in many elective contests in Montana.

~ Robert V. Frampton

Next Month: "The Education of an Idealist: A Memoir by Samantha Power". A Pulitzer Prize winner, Power transports us from her childhood in Dublin to the streets of war-torn Bosnia—where she was a war correspondent—to the White House Situation Room, to the United Nations where she was US Ambassador. Power is now Administrator of the US Agency for International Development.





Calendar

- October 18 Monday UDH Steering Meeting via Zoom. 5:30 p.m.
- October 24 Sunday VIP Virtual Reception for Oktoberfest 2021! for Sponsors, Co-hosts and Hosts.
- October 24 Sunday UDH Virtual Oktoberfest 2021! with Brats, Beer, and more delivered to your door! Join Congressmembers Judy Chu and Adam Schiff and other special guests. See details in this Phoenix.
- November 4 Thursday ACT and ADC Meeting via Zoom. Program: Report from State Senator Anthony Portantino. Socializing at 7 p.m.; meeting, 7:30 p.m.

October *Phoenix* Deadline

The likely deadline for the November *Phoenix* will be Monday evening, November 8. Please send items for publication to Chuck Hains at hains27@sbcglobal.net and to Sally Beer at sally.beer125@gmail.com. (Chuck will continue to contact regular contributors with a deadline reminder.)



ACT's and ADC's Website

Website manager, Bruce Wright, asks for items for the website calendar and links to important viewpoints and commentary. Bruce and Marcus Green keep the site updated. You can reach Bruce at: brucewright@sbcglobal.net.



You will always find the current *Phoenix*, plus a newsletter archive on the website at www.ACTpasadena.org.



P. O. Box 40074
Pasadena, California
91114-7074

Membership Renewal for 2021

A **Red Check** (✓) on your address label on this *Phoenix* is to remind you that we are still looking for your 2021 ACT and/or ADC membership dues!

Please renew today! Use the form below, or renew online at www.actpasadena.org.

If you have any questions about your membership, check with Executive Director Jon Fuhrman at jon_fuhrman@outlook.com or 626 864-5255.



I'd like to join both groups for one low price! (Checks payable to ACT/ADC)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$40 Single | <input type="checkbox"/> \$70 Single Sustaining |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$25 Each additional member per household | <input type="checkbox"/> \$90 Double Sustaining |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$15 Student or limited income | <input type="checkbox"/> \$150 Patron |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> \$275 Benefactor |

I'd like to join ACT (Checks payable to ACT)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$35 Single | <input type="checkbox"/> \$60 Single Sustaining |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$20 Each additional member per household | <input type="checkbox"/> \$85 Double Sustaining |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$15 Student or limited income | <input type="checkbox"/> \$125 Patron |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$20 Gift Membership (NEW!) | <input type="checkbox"/> \$275 Benefactor |

I'd like to join the Arroyo Democratic Club (Checks payable to ADC)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$35 Single | <input type="checkbox"/> \$60 Single Sustaining |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$20 Each additional member per household | <input type="checkbox"/> \$85 Double Sustaining |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$15 Student or limited income | <input type="checkbox"/> \$125 Patron |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> \$275 Benefactor |

\$ _____ Political Account (for endorsed candidates and issues)

\$ _____ Operating Account (for organizational costs) \$ _____ **Total enclosed**

 Name

Home Phone

 Address

Office Phone

 City

ZIP

 Email address

Mail to ACT, P. O. Box 40074, Pasadena, CA 91114-7074

Information you provide will be available to other members and endorsed candidates upon request.